

Self-Field Theory: A Mathematics for Biology

by Tony Fleming PhD (Monash), MAppSci (Monash) Grad Dip Appl Num Anal (CIT)

Abstract: *This paper gives a historical perspective behind the recently discovered self-field theory (SFT) and briefly discusses some biological applications. SFT provides analytic solutions to the Maxwell-Lorentz equations. In SFT, the photon possesses two sub-particles of equal mass; in accordance with relativity, two SFT spinors are used to model the photon's internal motions. A range of eigensolutions exist due to the photon's internal dynamics including a class of photon spectroscopy a chemistry that sits below atomic chemistry. Similar eigensolutions apply to the electromagnetic fields of atomic and molecular systems often hidden inside atoms due to shielding by outer shell electrons. With hydrates however, the internal electromagnetic field is more exposed to outside fields and interacts via these photons. These predicted solutions agree with observations of weak streams of photons emitted by strands of DNA by Popp et al. There are other biological applications of SFT such as the dynamics of populations of cells, of proteins within a cell's membrane and of DNA strands within the cytoplasm.*

ELECTROMAGNETIC SELF FIELD THEORY (EMSFT) is a recently discovered analytic solution for the hydrogen atom [Fleming 2005]. This solution was derived by substituting appropriate mathematical forms of rotational motion termed particle- and field-spinors¹ into the Maxwell-Lorentz (ML) equations. The transformed ML equations provide a dynamic balance of rotating particles and fields. The relative time-varying radial distance of a rotating charge \mathbf{q} is given by the

spinor $\sigma(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \tilde{\mathbf{r}}) = \tilde{\mathbf{r}} e^{j\boldsymbol{\omega}t}$. For the electron in the hydrogen atom, the distance between

the electron and proton is assumed the sum of two spinors $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{ep} = \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_e e^{j\boldsymbol{\omega}_e t} + \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_p e^{j\boldsymbol{\omega}_p t}$

where \mathbf{e} refers to the electron and \mathbf{p} the proton. The analytic expression for each particle's motion has four unknowns $(\mathbf{r}_o, \boldsymbol{\omega}_o, \mathbf{r}_c, \boldsymbol{\omega}_c)$ where the subscripts \mathbf{o} and \mathbf{c} refer to two types of rotation, orbit and cyclotron. The bi-spinors are compatible with ordinary relativistic motion both special and general. Importantly the fields are not measured point-to-point as assumed by classical EM, relativity theory, and quantum theory, but between centres of rotation. One of the analytically and numerically attractive features of EMSFT is that all sub-particles in an atomic structure are related by their coherent motions; their phases and orbits are synchronous both in time and space to achieve nil overall radiation. It is only in this way that the integrity of the atom's eigenvalue system can be maintained. EMSFT promises to permit many-electron atoms and many-atom ionic crystal structures to be studied afresh [Stevens 1997].

In the generalized SFT, the analytic form and number of spinors is unique for each region, such as the strong and weak nuclear regions, the electromagnetic region, and various gravitational regions, depending on the energy density within each region. The analytic form specifies how many degrees of freedom a particle possesses and how many rotations it can perform. There is no analytic upper limit on this ability to move in rotations, only the amount of energy the particle possesses and how many other particles are involved. For instance inside the strong nuclear region, three particles rotate in three orthogonal directions involving three different fields, the electric field, the magnetic field and another field Fleming termed the nuclear field. Associated with such regions are modified systems of Maxwell's equations. For the strong nuclear region two more equations above the

¹ SFT spinors are physical applying to a particle's motions and fields, and differ to Dirac spinors that are mathematical, of unit magnitude, and apply to potentials.

usual ML equations are required to specify the nuclear field and govern the overall motions of the quarks. This becomes a composite problem involving the weak and strong nuclear, and EM forces.

The photon, a quantum of the EM field in the domain of an atom, can be considered a particle with mass in its own isolated domain [Lakes 2004]. SFT was recently used to investigate a photon with non-zero mass having a substructure [Fleming and Colorio 2004]. In photonic self-field theory there is an analytic similarity to the hydrogen atom; the photon possesses a spectroscopy with Balmer-like frequencies. Further, a 'photon chemistry' has been predicted in which compounds of the ordinary photon occur depending on the energy density in a region, for instance inside the nucleus, or near the centre of the nucleus [Fleming and Colorio 2004]. These photonic compounds create fields that mediate the EM, strong, and weak forces. Each field-type supports a particle-type and its motions. For instance near the centre of the nucleus the energy density is raised above that in the EM region outside the nucleus but not as high as in the strong nuclear region wherein gluons can exist. This permits the existence of the W_+ , W_- and Z^0 bosons, and the weak forces can bind the weak electron near the centre of the nucleus with a binding energy equal to that of the neutrino. This weak nuclear force on an electron within a neutron is weaker than the EM force on an outer electron as it is formed by quark triplets and outer-shell electrons thus essentially tripolar. Photon chemistry and SFT add another intricate layer of structure to atomic chemistry and change our view of biochemical reactions such as the hydrogen bond [Pauling 1960]

Historical Perspective of the Mathematics of Self-Field Theory

Till recently the main mathematical tools of the theoretical physicist have been general relativity (GR), quantum field theory (QFT) and the standard model of particle physics which is based on QFT [Whittaker 1960] [Cropper 2001] [Perkins 2003] [Besprosvany 2002a, 2002b]. GR and QFT suggest another mathematics applicable to both large and small scales. There is a perceived theoretical conflict between GR for the large and QFT for the small as there is no reason for the difference. At the same time no mathematics serves the biophysical community. Biophysics domains of scale fall between the ends of the size spectrum. Neither GR nor QFT is appropriate.

This is reminiscent of an earlier episode in physics 100 years ago, in the 1890's when quantum physics was first observed. The photon's discrete behaviour emerged with the failure of science to provide a single consistent theory for the energy of a blackbody radiator. Both Wien at short and Rayleigh and Jeans at long wavelengths had experimentally obtained differing analytic equations for the energy. The mathematics indicated an 'ultraviolet catastrophe'. Planck resolved the situation by modelling the blackbody's walls, its atoms, as EM dipoles using the potential theory formulated by Hertz along with the concepts of probabilistic thermodynamics. Planck acted in an "act of desperation" but came to realize the theoretical implications of the effect that needed to be treated as a series of discrete frequencies rather than a continuously analytic function.

The Rayleigh-Jeans classical law for the low frequency radiation from a blackbody is

$$\frac{8\pi\nu^2}{c^3} kT \quad (1.1)$$

Planck's quantum law is

$$\frac{8\pi\nu^2}{c^3} \frac{h\nu}{e^{\frac{h\nu}{kT}} - 1} \quad (1.2)$$

Planck substituted a series expansion for the exponential

$$e^{\frac{h\nu}{kT}} = 1 + \frac{h\nu}{kT} + \frac{\left(\frac{h\nu}{kT}\right)^2}{2!} + \frac{\left(\frac{h\nu}{kT}\right)^3}{3!} + \dots \quad (1.3)$$

showing that for low frequencies $h\nu \ll kT$ the classical and quantum laws agree. In 1905 Einstein via the photo-electric effect observed that the radiation itself, not just its frequency, acted as discrete quanta or particles of energy $E/h\nu$ termed photons.

Bohr recognising that the Planck-Einstein equation $E = h\nu$ held for emitted as well as absorbed energy put forward a quantum theory of atomic spectroscopy in which angular momentum must be whole numbers of Planck's quantum number $\hbar = h/2\pi$.

In 1927 using a similar series expansion as Planck, Dirac quantized the vector potential from which the radiation field in a Coulomb gauge could be obtained.

$$A = \sum_{\lambda} (q_{\lambda} A_{\lambda} + q_{\lambda}^* A_{\lambda}^*) \quad (1.4)$$

This process of breaking the field up into a series of plane waves is often called the *first* quantization. Dirac also generalized the non-relativistic Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \frac{\hbar c}{i} \left(\alpha_1 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^1} + \alpha_2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^2} + \alpha_3 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^3} \right) + \alpha_4 m c^2 \psi \quad (1.5)$$

The addition of the term on the extreme RHS, created a connection between relativistic motion and particle spin. A second quantization is also applied to the ψ -functions of the Dirac equation (1.5) and is used in many-electron and many-atom problems. The mathematics continues by defining a Hamiltonian or more generally a Lagrangian.

Relativistic quantum mechanics (QM) became *the* tool for mathematical physicists. But there were problems left-over from the earlier ultraviolet catastrophe. The lessons from the early blackbody days had not been learnt and are *still* uncorrected. In all QM and QFT, the field, actually a potential, is treated more or less as an analytic continuous function; SFT shows that the field acts as a discrete series of tiny impacts like gas hitting a wall. It is little wonder that numerical infinities dominate QM's history. Hole theory grew out of another difficulty that free electrons may assume any energy state in principle from $-mc^2$ to $-\infty$. Hole theory led to the discovery of the positron and has other far-reaching consequences including the creation and annihilation of particles in an energy-rich vacuum. Zero-point energy is an effect upon the radiation field itself. Both hole theory and zero-point energy involve subtracting energies from the overall Hamiltonian. A concept of eliminating '*virtual*' particles arises.

From the prism of QM, such heuristic mechanisms might appear quite valid. Their roots within the early days of blackbody radiation have been long forgotten. But the problems of continuous functions to describe photon fields are still imbedded in QM. It is here that the centre-of-motion fields used within SFT can update QM and QFT, thus actual orbits and not probabilistic orbital densities can be obtained.

Heisenberg's uncertainty principle states that the process of measuring the position \mathbf{x} of a particle disturbs its momentum \mathbf{p} , so that $\mathbf{Dx Dp} = \mathbf{h}/2$. To Heisenberg and QM it is impossible to look inside the photon. Yet SFT *does* examine the photon's structure. This is not an actual observation, but an application of scientific intelligence.

The Equations of Mathematical Physics

The following central equations of mathematical physics are written more or less in an historical form first and then in a more modern 4-form where appropriate.

Maxwell-Lorentz

The Maxwell-Lorentz equations for particles is written

$$\vec{F} = q\vec{E} + q\vec{v} \times \vec{B} \quad (1.6)$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} + \mu_r \frac{\partial \vec{H}}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (1.7)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{H} = 0 \quad (1.8)$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{H} - \epsilon_r \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} = \frac{\pi}{s_q} q\vec{v} \quad (1.9)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{4\pi}{v_q} q \quad (1.10)$$

In 4-form of the EM field tensors $F_{\mu\nu}^{EM}$ and $G_{\mu\nu}^{EM}$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{EM} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & E_x/c & E_y/c & E_z/c \\ -E_x/c & 0 & B_x & -B_y \\ -E_y/c & -B_x & 0 & B_y \\ -E_z/c & B_y & -B_x & 0 \end{bmatrix}; G_{\mu\nu}^{EM} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B_x & B_y & B_z \\ -B_x & 0 & -E_x/c & E_y/c \\ -B_y & E_x/c & 0 & -E_z/c \\ -B_z & -E_y/c & E_x/c & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.11)$$

Tensors are algebraically independent of coordinate system.

$$\frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}^{EM}}{\partial x^\nu} = \mu_\alpha; \frac{\partial G_{\mu\nu}^{EM}}{\partial x^\nu} = 0 \quad (1.12)$$

In 4-form of the scalar and vector potentials A^μ and J^μ ,

$$\square^2 A^\mu = -\mu_\alpha J^\mu \quad (1.13)$$

Einstein

Einstein's equations relate stress-energy to curvature of spacetime

$$G_{\mu\nu}^{GR} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu} \quad (1.14)$$

where $G_{\mu\nu}^{GR}$ is the GR tensor, $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the stress-energy tensor. The tensors $G_{\mu\nu}^{GR}$ and

$T_{\mu\nu}$

time' which is a 4 dimensional space having 3 spatial and one temporal coordinates.

Dirac

Because Dirac's relativistic equation introduced the concept of particle spin, his equation is written in terms of 4-entry column spinors, the Dirac spinors, which span 'spin space'. These are of unit magnitude and thus mathematical in nature.

$$i\gamma\partial\psi = m\psi \quad (1.15)$$

(1.15) is derived from (1.13) being potential equations derived from the scalar and vector potentials A^μ and J^μ . Gauge conditions implicit in QM would be recognized by Newton as constants of integration, in addition to Maxwell's field equations. In the conventions of the time, equations tend to be written in abbreviated form so detail is left out 'for simplicity' or 'for elegance'. Unfortunately, this tends to hide the mathematics and hence both equations (1.14) and (1.15) remain at the two ends of the size spectrum.

Standard Model

The Standard model is an extension of Dirac's equation where ψ becomes a matrix of Dirac spinors instead of a column matrix.

$$\gamma_0 (i\partial_\mu \gamma_\mu - M) \psi = 0 \quad (1.16)$$

Self-Field Theory

In the EM version of SFT, the ML equations (1.6) to (1.10) are used to write a matrix equation where each particle has two spinor motions σ_i and a current κ_j .

$$\mathbf{M}_{ij}^{EM} \sigma_i = \kappa_j \quad (1.17)$$

Thus EMSFT has four unknowns per particle. In general SFT is specific to each region

$$\mathbf{M}_{ij}^f \sigma_i = \kappa_j \quad (1.18)$$

(1.18) represents a general n^{th} rank tensorial dynamic balance across the forces acting on an object at any scale, whether EM, weak or strong nuclear, or gravitational forces [Fleming 2005]. The direct substitution of spinors into the ML equations used by SFT compared with QM's methods of gauge theory and Lagrangians is similar to the comparison between the numerical methods known as the finite difference and finite element methods [Douglas and Russell 1982].

3. Some Biological Applications

Although cell dynamics is extremely complex and involves layers of interaction, recent experiments and computations have begun to piece together how chromosomes and cells move and change shape during the cell cycle [Fleming 1999]. SFT can assist to understand these processes since it seeks actual motions. Charge in biology has many forms such as dipolar proteins that diffuse within the plasma membrane forming lattices, cytoplasmic microtubules that link together to overcome Debye screening, chromosomes that morph or diffuse within the cytoplasm, or cells themselves which can assume positions relative to each other. There are biophysical fields that either translate or rotate as SFT spinors.

Gaglioli has found that despite Debye screening, nanoscale electrostatics plays a major role in cell spindle assembly and motion, and in generating forces at kinetochores and chromosome arms during mitosis. During various stages, chromosome movement is dependent on kinetochore–microtubule dynamics: A chromosome moves towards a pole when its kinetochore is connected to microtubules emanating from that pole [2005].

Popp et al observed low levels of photon emission from various biosystems, including a strand of DNA [1999] [VanWijk 2001]. The photons originate from a coherent field within living organisms with their function intra and intercellular regulation and communication. These non-classical findings require a theory to support them. SFT does predict energy states associated with DNA's spine of hydration. As the hydrated protein changes energy, photons of specific energy can be emitted and received in a two-way exchange by other hydrated ionic messengers within the cytoplasm. As the internal field of a hydrated molecule is exposed to external fields, these interact to assume field states according to the particular photon chemistry. This forms a theoretical mechanism by which cell-cell communications occur. Photon chemistry also provides a theoretical basis by which DNA stores data such as photons and phonons within its nuclei [Ide et. al 2005].

Bauer et al have used sound frequencies in the audible range to test the effectiveness of an acoustic device to treat core tendon lesions of the thoroughbred race horse. EMSFT shows how the photon and the phonon have a similar sub-structure but an orthogonal orientation to each other. Hence sound, as well as EM

exposures can cause cellular effects within the cell-cycle such as replication or apoptosis. This effect on the cell's DNA is similar to the interplay between the photon and the phonon found in crystal lattices [Kittel 1987]. The therapeutic method involved application of an acoustic device (Cyma 1000), that delivered specific frequencies within the audible sound range to acupuncture points and meridians as well as the areas of injury. These audible frequencies ranged from 100 to 1600 Hz.

5. Conclusion

From their empirical observations, the ancient Chinese derived a concept of "chi" that has two forms of "energy" called yin and yang. The basis of acupuncture and the body's circulation, Chi went beyond the body and included geomagnetic fields through houses and cities. It was an empirical observation concerning dynamic equilibrium of the yin and the yang. SFT, a mathematics of dynamic equilibrium based on the ML system of equations concerns electric and magnetic fields at the level of the EM field. SFT, applying widely across both the physical and biophysical domains, relies on the observation that various forms of periodic rotations occur across wide levels of scale. SFT has a direction of rotation of energy giving negative and positive forces acting through any point in space.

Prior to SFT, no single mathematical theory had been found to treat the very big and the very small scale. SFT sees beyond the fog of quantum inaccuracy, the uncertainty principle, able to see the physical and biophysical processes clearer than before now.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks his colleague Liz Bauer for her ongoing assistance.

References

Bauer EB, Cooper K, et al., The effects of acoustic frequencies on core tendon lesions of the thoroughbred racehorse. BEMS-27, Dublin, Ireland, June 2005

Besprosvany J, Standard-model particles and interactions from field equations on spin 9+1 dimensional space, arXiv: hep-th/0203122 v1 13 Mar 2002a

Besprosvany J, Relationship between the wave function and space, arXiv: quant-ph/0206002 v1 31 May 2002b

Cropper WH, *Great Physicists*, New York: Oxford University Press, 2001.

Douglas, J Jr., Russell TF, Numerical methods for convection-dominated diffusion problems based on combining the method of characteristics with finite element or finite difference procedures, SIAM J Num Anal 1982 19(5): 871-85

Fleming AHJ, Towards computational methods for studying cellular effects due to electric and magnetic fields, ACES-15, 1999 March, Monterey.

Fleming AHJ, Colorio EB (nee Bauer), A predicted photon chemistry, BEMS-26, 2004 June, Washington DC.

Fleming AHJ, Colorio EB (nee Bauer), The spectroscopy of the em field-a predicted photon chemistry, 3rd International Workshop on Biological effects of Electromagnetic Fields, 2004 October, Kos.

Fleming AHJ, Electromagnetic self-field theory and its application to the hydrogen atom, *Physics Essays*, (18)3, 2005, (to be published, a copy may be found at www.unifiedphysics.com).

Gagliardi LJ, Electrostatic force in prometaphase, metaphase, and anaphase-A chromosome motions, *J. Electrostatics*, 63, 2005, pp. 309–327.

Ide M, Yamada K, Toyota T, et al., Genetic association analyses of PHOX2B and ASCL1 in neuropsychiatric disorders: evidence for association of ASCL1 with Parkinson's disease, *Hum Genet. Epub* 2005 117(6):520-527.

Kittel C, *Quantum theory of solids*, 2nd Edition, New York: Wiley, 1987.

Lakes RS, Experimental test of magnetic photons, *Physics Letters A* vol. 329 Elsevier, 2004, pp. 298-300,

Pauling L, *The Nature of the Chemical Bond*. New York: Cornell Univ. Press, 1960.

Perkins DH, *Introduction to high energy physics*, 4th Ed., Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2003.

Popp FA, About the coherence of biophotons, microscopic quantum coherence, *Proceedings of International Conference*, World Scientific, River Edge, 1999 New Jersey

Stevens KWH, *Magnetic ions in crystals*, New Jersey: Princeton Univ. Press, 1997.

VanWijk R, Bio-photons and bio-communication, *J. Sci. Expl*, 2001 (15)2: 183–97.

Whittaker ET, *A history of the aether and electricity* vol. 2 the modern theories 1900-1926, New York: Harper, 1960

Tony Fleming discovered the mathematics for atomic self fields contained within the Maxwell-Lorentz equations during his PhD at Monash University in Melbourne Australia. Self-field theory provides a basis for the unification of many areas of physics under the one theoretical umbrella. He is now involved in two books: "Unified Physics", and "Inside the Photon: a journey towards health"; he is organizing a website to promote the research that provides new insights into many different scientific disciplines as well as a new much simpler mathematics for physics. Along with his associate, Elizabeth Bauer Boston MA, he is involved with the Biophotonics Research Institute (BRI) where a centre for photon chemistry is emerging. Their aim is the treatment of diseases and ailments without surgery or drugs.

tfleming@unifiedphysics.com www.unifiedphysics.com